

NATIONAL SOCIALISM



The Biological World View

by Povel H. Riis-Knudsen

"At any given moment there is an orthodoxy, a body of ideas which it is assumed all right-thinking people will accept without question. It is not exactly forbidden to state this or that or the other, but it is "not done". Anyone who challenges the prevailing orthodoxy finds himself silenced with surprising effectiveness. A genuinely unfashionable opinion is almost never given a fair hearing, either in the popular press or in the highbrow periodicals".

George Orwell

As a National Socialist you constantly experience the difficulty in carrying on a meaningful conversation with a Non-National Socialist. You often feel that such a dialogue is outright impossible and that you live in two totally different worlds. Partly, of course, the reason for this deplorable situation lies in the propaganda image of National Socialism as the culmination of human viciousness that our enemies have created in the public mind. However, to young people what happened half a century ago is not all that important any more. From their earliest childhood they have been able to follow all the malice and cruelty that has been ravaging the world since the "victory of humanism" in 1945, vividly presented through television, and there is a very encouraging tendency among the young generation to have a less biased attitude to life and its various problems than the previous generation had.

However, this does not mean that young people have any idea at all what National Socialism is really all about, nor that they show much comprehension when you tell

them. They have grown up in a world where they have been deliberately alienated from all natural values and natural ethical norms and taught that everything is relative, and where the borderline between truth and lie has ceased to exist, as there are no absolutes and everything depends on the way you choose to look at it. In this value-free world people live in a mental vacuum, totally out of contact with the real world, its relentless biological laws, and absolute moral and social obligations, and it is unavoidable that the National Socialist idea is felt as an incomprehensible alien element in such a political and philosophical universe.

As opposed to today's carefree relativism, where all ideas - in principle at least - are equally acceptable and valid, National Socialism represents the unremitting effort to find the absolute truth and to make this truth the foundation of human society. Unlike the nebulous ravings of inane armchair philosophers and oriental mysticism, however, National Socialism is based on common sense, and it seeks its arguments in the real world, where the difference between truth and lie and between good and evil is determined by facts and not by wishful thinking and theoretic reveries.

In this light it is obvious that National Socialism must reject the conceptions and moral norms of all the ruling ideologies, and this, naturally, leads to a comprehensibility gap that is difficult to bridge - simply because there is no common frame of reference between National Socialists and people whose thinking is determined by the ideas of the present order. National Socialism simply means an absolute, irrevocable, and uncompromising fight against the very philosophical foundations of the entire ruling world order. On the following pages we shall try to explain the implications of such a showdown.

As it has probably been made clear on the previous page, the National Socialist idea has very little to do with **politics** in the normal sense of the word. The National Socialist movement is not one of the usual political organizations, which just represent some group interests of more or less materialistic nature. Their aim is merely to piece together a political program that is sufficiently vague and vapid to attract the necessary voting fodder for a parliamentary platform where their "elected representatives of the people" can appropriate as large a slice of the cake as possible to themselves - and perhaps their party members - at the expense of the rest of society.

National Socialism is far more than this - it is a world view, a complete philosophy of life, covering all aspects of human existence. As an adherent to a such a **world view** you regard all phenomena in society from one and the same angle, so that every single aspect becomes part of a unified whole, just as your opinions in all areas are determined by the same uniting principle that enables you to understand and explain all facets of life and its mysteries. Thus a world view is the basis of its followers' attitude to religion, ethics, politics, economics - **and of the way they organize their personal lives.**

Now, of course, National Socialism is not the only philosophy of life that claims to cover all aspects of existence. Other examples are for instance all the religions, which in principle give everybody who believes in them a guiding line for his conduct and attitudes in every situation in life. Today, however, the religions have been forced to compromise in a desperate attempt to bridge the quickly widening gap between the religious dogmas and reality - a gap which during the last 500 years has led to a steadily

increasing secularization of the Christian countries in the old world and which today makes the westernized governments of most Islamic countries leave the strict religious structure of society in favour of either Capitalist or Communist materialism.

An example of a society that has gone in the opposite direction and radically stresses the unity between politics and religion is Khomeini's Iran. Another example is Israel, which is based on the religious belief that the Jews are "God's chosen people" and have a divine right to the territory they claim from the Arabs, and where especially the most orthodox groups consequently reject the slightest deviation from Talmudic law. This law is a product of the old nomadic society and is impossible to apply to a modern industrial state, which leads to a number of typically Semitic constructions to circumvent the strict religious rules around e.g. the Sabbath and the Shmitta-year, where all land ought to lie fallow, but doesn't!

Similar methods can be seen in Arab countries, where the Ramadan is more or less abolished permanently, because the countries are "at war" with poverty. All such attempts to "cheat" clearly show that these world views are totally inadequate as guiding lines in the modern world with its western technology - and that they have not been strong enough to prevent their followers from wanting this new life, which is not part of their culture but the result of successful parasitism. The reason for this failure is simply that these religions are based on **blind faith** and not on **reality**.

Naturally, devote Christians have the same wish to preserve the Bible as an ultimate authority and a focal point for all thinking. Thus, the Catholic Church constantly tries to increase its influence on the development of society in traditionally Catholic countries like Ireland, Italy, Spain and Latin America - and to do this it must gain political power. Its main weakness is, however, the many internal disagreements as to how this goal should be achieved and which parts of the Christian doctrine should be stressed. Also in North America Christianity enjoys a very important political influence that should in no way be underestimated, and also in Europe most countries have Christian political parties that try to strengthen so-called Christian values as part of their political program. However, religion as such plays a very moderate part in these parties - simply because Christianity has lost its grip on people - if it has ever had one. Somehow it has always been felt as an alien idea among the men of the North, and their interpretation of it has often been very un-Christian. Today it has been reduced to an anachronistic relic. In Denmark, for instance, about 95% of the inhabitants are members of the Lutheran state church. However, only 2% go to church and even less claim to believe in the doctrine. As a spiritual force in the people Christianity is dead.

However, Marxism also is a philosophy of life, representing a world view that governs all facets of human life, whereas all the other political ideas in our part of the world mainly concentrate on trifling administrative and economic problems. These ideas are loosely linked to a certain fundamental attitude to life in general and the relation between the individual and society, but regarding man's spiritual needs, they are - in principle - totally uncommitted. They do not exclude any religious affiliation - on the contrary, they always stress the total religious freedom and claim that religion and politics have nothing to do with each other and should be kept apart - as if religion were something unimportant compared to politics. Thus, members of all these liberal or conservative parties can be Christians, Jews, Moslems, Atheists, or Buddhist. Their religious persuasion is considered totally irrelevant for their political work. They are

united in an attempt to solve some fairly well defined practical problems in the state machinery, but they may have totally different spiritual goals in view. As mentioned above, this fragmentation is really incompatible with the nature of religion, but it must be accepted more or less reluctantly by the religious communities if they want to exercise any influence on society at all.

A true philosophy of life like National Socialism has absolutely no room for such an atomistic splitting up of life into different compartments with no relation to each other. National Socialism is able to solve all practical problems in society by applying the principles of its fundamental philosophy, and its followers do not need any foreign element to satisfy their spiritual needs. National Socialism itself gives a full answer to any question man may ask.

It is a well-known fact that the religions are based solely on **faith** - something they are proud to acknowledge! Thus, the existence of God, the immaculate conception, the resurrection, the second coming etc. are all things that you cannot prove - unless, of course, you accept God as the author of the Bible, another thing that you must just believe - in spite of any evidence you may have to the contrary. And if you do not **believe** without evidence you are suspicious - just like the doubting Thomas. As opposed to this pride in ignorance, both Marxism and National Socialism claim to be based on scientific truth. **Marxism**, was invented behind a desk, loaded with learned, philosophical books - but without any contact with reality or science, for that matter. At a closer look Marxism is just as much based on faith as any religion - namely on the completely unscientific belief that all biological beings who walk on two legs and don't have feathers are created equal, and the just as absurd idea that it is the conditions of production that have determined history in the same way as it is claimed to be the environment - and not heredity - that determines the development of the individual. This, of course, is to put the cart before the horse. It does not take much intelligence to realize that in reality it is man who has formed his environment - and established the social order, including the conditions of production - and **not** the other way round.

Unlike all these other philosophies, National Socialism has never been invented - it has been derived from the eternal Laws of Nature, which have existed as long as the universe and which have governed all life since the first primitive organism came into existence. This has been expressed beautifully and clearly by Savitri Devi, the famous late National Socialist philosopher, in her book "The Lightning and the Sun":

"In its essence, the National Socialist idea exceeds not only Germany and our time, but the Aryan race and mankind itself and any epoch; it ultimately expresses that mysterious and unfailing wisdom according to which Nature lives and creates: the impersonal wisdom of the primeval forest and of the ocean depths and of the spheres in the dark fields of space; and it is Adolf Hitler's glory not merely to have gone back to that de vine wisdom, but to have made it the practical regeneration policy of world-wide scope"

In other words, National Socialism was not **invented** by Adolf Hitler, but is the conscious expression of the fundamental Laws of Nature governing our lives. It is based on an infinite **love** of the creation in all its diversity, a deep, unconditional **respect** for the

wisdom of Nature, and an ardent will to preserve life as it has grown out of this wisdom. The only way to do so is to organize the society of man in accordance with these fundamental Laws. **Thus being against National Socialism is just as absurd and illogical as it would be to oppose the law of gravity or the fact that the earth is round!** National Socialism is really nothing but the application of physical and biological laws to the political, economic, social, and religious areas of human life in the same way as they are today applied to technology. In this light, National Socialism is truly scientific - unlike any other world view. It does not wish to make reality fit any preconceived theories but to make the theories fit reality. New epoch making scientific landmarks would thus immediately be reflected in the practical life of a National Socialist community.

Of course, we might sometimes wish that some of these Laws had been a little different, but we must necessarily accept that it would be impossible to change them. Laws of Nature cannot be abolished or amended through a vote in the General Assembly of the United Nations, the US Congress, or any other national parliament! Perhaps everything **had** been easier if all human beings and all races **had** been created equal, and if there had not been any hereditary factors governing and limiting our individual possibilities of development. However, that is not the case, **and there is absolutely no chance of changing this fact by wishful thinking, i.e. by doing as if these Laws did not exist.** To build a society on such wishful thinking is a deadly sin that can only have disastrous consequences.

These consequences are seen only **too** clearly when we take a look at the societies that have been built by our enemies in East and West. Unanimously they refer to National Socialism as the "Gospel of Evil" - while they themselves rule over a world on the brink of economic and moral disaster, a world afflicted by inflation, unemployment, crime, senseless violence, drug abuse, pollution, pornography, corruption, hunger, and ecological catastrophes - a world that has experienced only 16 days of peace since 1945 and where 30 million people have been butchered over the same period. And over this dreary world hovers the dreadful threat of a nuclear war that will destroy all higher life on earth.

No wonder, indeed, that man lives in constant fear of what the next day has in store for him! Unfortunately, this fear and hopelessness is most widespread in the Aryan part of the world, where decadence and moral decay are most advanced. Here people have been totally alienated from all sound and natural values and made into mindless zombies, whose anxieties are soothed by material affluence - in a constant race against economic chaos. In spite of all the material goodies of the modern world, these people are neither happy nor satisfied. They completely lack ideals and enthusiasm and they have lost all faith in the future. The Aryan is simply afraid to bring children into this world. As he sees no future, he prefers the luxuries of the moment to the preservation of his race and culture. He tries to secure as comfortable a life for himself as he can in this cesspool, and his only hope is that the inevitable catastrophe will not occur in his lifetime. Thus, he passively watches the land of his forefathers being slowly but steadily taken over by aliens, who do not yet realize that the end of the white man means the end of all civilization.

This is the Golden Age our enemies promised the world in 1945 - this is what they have been able to build in the forty years they have had absolute power. Under these

circumstances the prospects for the future sure **are** gloomy. However, it does not have to be this way. **That the world is in such a sinister condition is solely the result of man's total disrespect for the Laws of Nature.**

As a National Socialist you inevitably feel like someone from another planet when you have once realized the nature of the present order. You can have no part in this system and the very daily struggle to keep alive within the framework of this society must seem like a futile waste of time. **As National Socialists we envisage a totally New World Order, based on the "unfailing wisdom according to which Nature lives and creates"**. Only within such a new world order can life survive on this planet in the long run. However, to establish this New Order man **must** accept that he is not elevated above Nature. Man is **not** the master of creation but an integrated part of the totality of Nature, and he is subject to exactly the same laws as all other living organisms. Likewise, he must also accept the scientifically proven fact that the races of man are different - not only in their outward appearance but also with regard to their mental and intellectual characteristics - and, finally, that all human beings are individuals created unequal, and that their lives are mainly determined by hereditary factors and **not** by their environment.

This may, of course, seem "unjust", but one of the things man **must** recognize is that **in Nature** there is no conception of justice in the sense we normally apply to that word. As human beings we can - and should - organize a society built on **legal** and **social** justice, because all members of society have a useful function and can therefore also claim equal protection under the law and safety from economic exploitation. This is part of the **security** that is necessary and natural in an organized society - as a matter of fact it is part of the very reason why human beings engaged in establishing stable social structures that they wanted this security. However, we cannot create **biological** justice - just as we cannot create **biological** equality. From a human point of view it will always seem extremely unjust that some people are attacked by horrible, painful, and incurable diseases at a young age, whereas others can enjoy good health until they are a hundred years old - also, even if the one who dies at the age of 25 has far greater mental gifts and could have given humanity so much more than the one who reaches old age. No matter what we feel about it, this is the way it is - in spite of all our medical skills - and man must learn to accept that Nature does not recognize our conception of justice, **and any attempt to introduce a kind of divine justice in a life to come must be rejected as an absurd attempt to escape from reality.**

In this connection the enemies of National Socialism often claim that the biological conception of human nature, which is the very basis of National Socialism, is "unethical". To this we can only reply that it is the so-called "ethics" of these opponents that are immoral, because they are based on norms and values that are not founded on Nature. **For National Socialists there is only one truth: the Laws of Nature. and anything that is not in full accordance with this truth is absolutely 100% wrong!**

This, of course, means a total rejection of Christianity, whose unnatural dualism is the very basis of the predominant "moral" code - also where this code is disguised under a liberal/Humanistic or a Marxist label. According to Christianity, man enjoys a very special position among all creatures by having a **divine soul**. This soul is universal and unbiological. It does not differ from race to race or from individual to individual, and it

does not depend on intelligence or any other mental or physical quality in the individual, nor is it hereditary or in any other way influenced by Nature. It is this **soul** that makes all people equal in the eyes of God, no matter what they do or what they are, as long as they believe in Him. To the Christian, speaking about man as a product of biological factors is a "disparagement". According to Christianity, man's whole life is a constant struggle between the **divine soul** (the spirit) and "matter", i.e. Nature, or - on the personal level **the flesh**, which represents **Evil** and must be defeated in order to gain eternal life in an undefined paradise in the clouds. Life on earth is only a preparation for that life to come - either in Abraham's bosom or in Hell, all depending on how successful they have been in fighting their biological nature. In itself, life on earth is without any value, just a vale of tears.

Thus, Christianity is characterized by a distinct contempt of life and Nature. It is a religion for losers and dreamers who cannot cope with the the challenges of life but just vegetate along, trusting that "the last shall be first and the first shall be last", as Christianity regards any criminal good-for-nothing and mentally deficient fool as a more valuable human being than the industrious and creative citizen. It represents a set of norms and values that put the virgin above the mother, the monk above the father of a family, and the weak and suffering above the strong and victorious, indeed, the dead above the living. It scorns any pleasure in life and glorifies self torture and self-abasement as positive indications that man fights his flesh and accepts that he is born as a vessel of sin because he is not all spirit.

No matter from what angle you look at it. Christianity represents a perverted and misanthropic attitude to life that can under no circumstances be tolerated in a healthy society. To put it bluntly. Christianity is a kind of spiritual AIDS that has destroyed our natural immunity against unbiological thinking. It is a contaminating disease of the mind and must be fought with all means.

Unlike the Christian, the National Socialist is supposed to **live**. He is supposed to expand his abilities and unfold his personality as much as he can within the boundaries of his biological nature - both physically and spiritually. He is **not** supposed to spend life on his knees in front of a Middle Eastern god, begging for mercy and forgiveness for the "sin" of having been born into Nature. **We want to see proud and harmonious people who are confident of themselves and their mission in life - not frightened and dejected products of misanthropic conceptions like "original sin". which only leaves man one pleasure in life: that "God" will forgive you. if you just believe and repent. Nor do we want the diffident and despairing victims of the pluralistic world view with its denial of absolute values.** We are **not** atheists. We **do** believe in a deity, but our deity is an absolute contrast to the Jewish-Christian Jahwe. For National Socialism there is only one true deity: the inscrutable creative power that is manifested everywhere in Nature. That is the deity we pay our tribute to by showing veneration and respect for the wisdom of the Laws of Nature. As National Socialists we follow no other voice than the voice of Nature and no other ethic than the ethic of Nature, and we know only one mortal sin: to try to revolt against this ethic.

Although man is part of Nature, National Socialists are, of course, fully aware that man differs from all other living organisms in one particular respect: his unique brain that enables him to think in abstract terms. This ability has made it possible for man to avert or mitigate some of the cruelty of Nature that other beings must suffer under. Our brain

has enabled us to cure diseases that had otherwise been terminal and to find the underlying principles for many of the Laws of Nature, so that we have been able to use some of these principles to our own advantage and - for better or for worse - to develop the technology which was necessary to explore the earth and utilize its riches.

Unfortunately, our brain has also made it possible for us to consciously disregard the Laws of Nature when we find it more comfortable to try to ignore them than to follow them. In a primitive society you would soon feel the consequences of such a transgression, but in a highly developed technological society you will be able to survive a violation of the Laws of Nature for quite some time without feeling the inevitable reprisals. However, sooner or later they will come with inexorable force and then it will be, if not impossible, at least extremely difficult to remedy the mistakes.

Finally, our unique brain has also given us feelings that are hardly found with any animal: the fear of the unknown, the certainty of death, and thus also a desperate need for something that can give man a feeling of spiritual security and make him see a meaning in life beyond the mere scramble for material goodies. This is the need that lies behind the religions, but unlike them, National Socialism concentrates on satisfying these needs on earth. In this connection it should be noted that neither brain nor feelings are isolated, unbiological factors but an inseparable part of the living organism and like the physical characteristics of man, so is also the "spirit" subject to the Natural Laws concerning heredity. As National Socialists we are of the firm opinion that society as a whole must be organized so that all aspects of Natural Law are considered. Thus it is not enough to meet people's material needs by establishing a sound economic life. It is also necessary to look to it that the spiritual needs are satisfied as well!

It is often claimed by the enemy of man that the spiritual universe of National Socialism is one of coercion and manipulation, where the individual is robbed of his freedom and individuality just to be made part of a mindless mass. This, of course, is far from the truth. As a matter of fact this comes much closer to a description of democracy. It is the democrats who regard the people as a large grey mass, where the differences between the single individuals are explained as the result of casual environmental influences that society must "correct" in order to "socialize" people into democratic ways. National Socialism, on the other hand, respects the individual. We know that all human beings are biologically unique and that they have different talents and abilities. To combine all these individual gifts within the framework of society is one of the most important tasks of the National Socialist state. Only in this way is it possible to use the total amount of mental resources in a people - for the benefit of both the individuals and society.

However, we also know that genius and progress have never come from the mass but always from single, outstanding individuals, and only by ensuring the individual the opportunity of finding and developing himself as freely as possible in an organized society can we further the advancement of man towards ever higher perfection. If we want to solve the enormous problems the world faces after 45 years of democracy, this is necessary. As it is obviously impossible to lift people to a level above their inborn possibilities of development, all the stupid attempts to **make** all people equal in all

respects necessarily lead to a unification on the lowest level, i.e. to the suppression of all individuals who rise above average in intelligence or in any other way. This trend is not the work of National Socialism but of Democracy, and it is not likely to improve the conditions of life on earth.

However, every attempt to foster an elite is rightfully seen as a threat against the very foundation of the Democratic system, where it is believed that everybody should not only have equal legal rights but also equal **influence** on public affairs, no matter whether he has the necessary qualities or not. Such a system can only lead a country into the abyss. In a National Socialist state, the leadership will consist of the very best in the nation. Only they will be able to solve the problems that arise - and to face the responsibility. The individual members of a democratic assembly cannot be held responsible for their actions, because all decisions are made by a vote and everybody has to bow to the majority - no matter whether he agrees or not. Clearly, this kind of forum provides ample room for the most depraved elements of society. It is ironic that big commercial enterprises spend enormous amounts of time and money on finding and educating the best people, for the leading positions in the corporation, whereas any political charlatan without any education at all can become his country's prime minister or president, if he just has the right connections and does not show too much intelligence, which might indicate that he could not be manipulated so easily by the various interest groups and that would never win him any support. This is pathetic!

This denial of individuality and of the biological differences between human beings has also led to a complete repression of the concept of "genetic hygiene". Today hygiene is only something about washing your hands and brushing your teeth. Man **does** know the principle of "genetic hygiene", though, and he spends an enormous amount of time and energy on the breeding of horses, cows, dogs, pigeons, parakeets etc. - all according to the best genetic principles, but when it comes to the reproduction of his own kind, he totally abandons these principles - as if they were only valid in the world of animals - and willingly contributes to the total biological degeneration of mankind.

In the natural state, every population is subject to biological selection, which means that the individuals who are best fit for the given circumstances rise to the top of that society, whereas those who cannot cope with life as it is must perish. This is one of the iron, relentless Laws of Nature that man has been able to mitigate by building societies where there is also room and protection for the weaker elements of the population, who also have a meaningful role to play in a developed society. However, if we completely close our eyes to the existence of this Law of Nature, we, too, will be heading for disaster, as we would then no longer be able to secure the necessary biological quality in the population to preserve a system that is strong enough also to protect the weak. Without regard for the biological realities of life we will end in a free for all where the weakest individuals will be the very first to perish.

Thus, National Socialism will not as it is often claimed - mean that the weak are abandoned and left to their fate. On the contrary! National Socialism is the only guarantee the weak can have against certain destruction. Here, however, it must be stressed that **weakness is not an ideal** - it is something that must be fought and this is

only possible through consequent genetic hygiene. The National Socialist state will enlighten the people about the biological mechanisms and thus make sure that man recovers his natural instincts in this field so that man can advance biologically. Only fools can believe that man has already reached the highest possible peak of development. However, in order for man to advance further, society must make sure that diseases and weaknesses are not perpetuated through inheritance. Freedom to transfer sufferings to your children and to break down the population genetically is a crime against coming generations!

At the same time society must also make sure that there is a healthy environment so that diseases caused by external influences disappear forever. Here we think not only of the social milieu and the conditions in the places of work but also of the ecological environment. In a National Socialist society it is completely unacceptable that profit mongering and greedy materialism allow pathogenic artificial additives in food and clothes, unhealthy synthetic materials in our homes and public buildings, and the increasing pollution of earth, air, and water - not to mention lethal radioactive contamination that will last for hundreds of thousands of years. All this is the logical result of the complete perversion of life's true values seen in this terminally sick society. Genetic hygiene and general pollution control are only two aspects of the same cause: the preservation of Nature and the ecological balance to ensure the continued spiritual and physical well-being of man. Without a healthy environment even the best genes will be destroyed, and without a sufficient amount of these genes, there will be nobody who can create a healthy environment.

To a good milieu also belongs a healthy family where children can grow up in harmony and be happy, and another evil of the present order is that this kind of family is being destroyed by the usual unbiological thinking and the nonsense of women's libbers. Just as the races are different, so are the sexes, and the idea that man and woman are biologically equal is a serious threat to the survival of man. The differences between them are not the result of social "sex roles" but of **biological** roles! It is not a coincidence that it is the woman who gives birth to the children. She is not only biologically fit for this task, but also mentally, and as the mother of the new generation she has the most important role in society. The idea that she must "fulfil herself" by joining the labour force and getting a job at an assembly line, while her children are left to others, is criminal! Women can only fulfil themselves within their biological role as mothers. Without a mother the family crumbles. The children are left to themselves or to a state education. When they get home, nobody has time for them. They are not taught any ideals and they get their idols from television, bad music, and even worse literature. They live on fast food and fall victims to the worst kind of commercial materialism. That is, if the woman does not choose to avoid having any children at all - either by using some kind of "birth control" device or by having an abortion if she gets pregnant anyway. Of course, the feminists claim that it would be just as natural for the man to look after the children and the woman to go to work. The fact is that if it had been just as natural, the man would have given birth, too.

On the contrary, the female biology will always mean that the woman is in a weaker position on the job market because she is less stable as a man. Not to mention the jobs that demand a certain physical strength. It is no wonder that Elisabeth Badinter, a Jewish-French feminist, in a new book (**L'un est l'autre**, = "One is the other", published

by **O. Jacob** (!), Paris 1986, and, not surprisingly, translated into virtually every Western-European language) demands that uterus and ovaries be transplanted into men to ensure equality! A society where such a book finds readers is terminally ill, indeed!

It is part of man's biological nature that he is not just an isolated individual but also a social being, and his social instinct goes beyond the nuclear family. From the earliest times human beings have lived together in groups so that they could better resist the dangers of their primitive existence. If they had not done so, man would hardly have survived as a species. In other words, life in organized societies is a condition for man's existence, and the urge to organize is part of our nature. Without an organized society every individual would have had enough to do trying to gather the daily necessities. There would have been no surplus for art, science, education, or any health service. A high degree of organization is simply the precondition for both cultural and technological development. Therefore, it is in no way a coincidence that the highest cultures have always been created by the peoples with the best ability for organizing, i.e. the Aryans.

In any organized society, however, it is absolutely necessary that the individual adapts himself to a norm that is shared with other members of that community and that he abstains from misusing his intelligence and talents in a way that is harmful to the very community that has made the development of these talents possible.

However, the necessary loyalty within the group cannot be based on materialistic considerations alone. It is not enough to have a common welfare office. It only makes sense to renounce some of one's personal freedom in a community with a common destiny, consisting of people with a common background, common norms and values, and with a common purpose in life - people whose forefathers have won and defended the same territory throughout generations, because they wanted to preserve their specific linguistic, cultural, and biological character.

It is this wish that has produced our culture in close accordance with our people's talents, conceptions, ideals, and values - with what we can call our people's soul. Other peoples have other talents, conceptions, ideals, and values, and they have therefore produced other cultures. These may be as "good" as ours - but they are alien to us, just as our culture is alien to other peoples. To speak about a coming, universal "culture of the world", common to all people, is nonsense. A "culture" that has not emerged naturally as the mirror of a people's soul is a denial of the very meaning of the word "culture", and history shows very clearly that every civilization that has no longer been able to preserve and further develop its **own** culture and has therefore imported and integrated whatever foreign cultural elements it happened to come across has been standing directly before its inevitable fall.

A society consisting of a random medley of races, religions and philosophies is most certainly not an expression of spiritual and cultural wealth, as it is often claimed today. It is an absurd hotchpotch whose existence cannot be justified on any grounds - a certain indication of the imminent disintegration of the nation and the total dissolution of all norms and values. Such a society is a degenerate parody of a true community - and it cannot last, because the social loyalty between the various groups who tempo-rarily happen to live on the same piece of land is broken by a far stronger -

spiritual - loyalty towards religious and philosophical ideas that do not have their basis in the nation itself and its history.

The most serious threat to the coherence of society is, without comparison, the biological race-mixing that has always either come hand in hand with the mixing of cultures - or even been its precondition. The disintegration of culture itself can be stopped at any time and a people can find its way back to its own cultural norms and values again - as long as the racial stock is intact. The mixing of the races, however, is irrevocable - and its consequences are incalculable and disastrous. It is a fact that Nature has always developed towards greater racial variation. Through the unnatural race-mixing we now witness a levelling of all the various natural talents, which all of them are determined by race. Where one race has developed in one particular direction and has built a community and created a culture based on the qualities it has developed, the mixing with other races means that after a few generations the bastard offspring will have lost every condition for understanding what their forefathers have created.

Unfortunately, there are only too many opportunities to study the kind of societies we have as a consequence of race mixing. Latin America, India, and Egypt are all excellent examples, and so are Hellas and the Roman Empire. Just as the Indian, Persian, and Egyptian cultures also the Greek and Roman civilizations were created and sustained by an immigrated minority of predominantly Nordic race. This higher developed minority first suppressed the original majority and their culture, but later they slowly succumbed to their predecessors' numerical superiority. Weakened by innumerable wars that had cost them their most valuable blood and subverted by Asian ideas of false humanitarianism they gradually gave increasing numbers from the subdued peoples citizenship and brought new slaves and labourers from their colonies in Africa and Asia - who were then integrated and acquired citizenship in the next generation. This sure is a familiar picture, isn't it? And it was this disintegration of the Nordic race that changed the proud state of Hellas into present day Greece and Rome into Italy - or put in another way: civilization into chaos! In school you still spend at least some time teaching the children about the ancient cultures, but not one word is said about the people who created those cultures. It does not seem to puzzle anybody that the ability to organise a state can disappear so completely. The truth is that most of the people who masquerade as "Romans" today racially have very little in common with their mighty predecessors. Too many of their ancestors had their home **south** of the Mediterranean!

When speaking about racial biology today, you soon face a whole lot of tabues. Studying racial biology has become something naughty - that is, if it is the **human** races you want to study - and not even medical doctors or so-called anthropologists can be expected to know anything at all about this matter. Even to **want** such knowledge is damaging to your career, so why care? Thus, it is always maintained, with a contemptuous sneer, that there does not exist any "pure" white race and that "Aryan" is a purely linguistic term etc., which is all meant to prove that racial science is nonsense. Of course, these arguments are not altogether wrong - but the conclusion is! It is true that there has been a mixture of the various European races, but this was a mixture of closely related races within the same main race, the so-called White Race, which you may very well refer to as the Aryan Race - as long as you define what you are talking about! Furthermore, there is absolutely no basis for claiming that this mixture - which has by no means been complete - has absolutely been an advantage for the Europeans! Probably it has been the opposite,

and there is absolutely no good reason to continue the process of disintegration by further miscegenation.

The ignorant also claim that race mixing is necessary to avoid "inbreeding". This, of course, is unbiological non-sense. Inbreeding only refers to breeding between closely related individuals, who necessarily resemble one another from a biological viewpoint. Through inbreeding we have an accumulation of the various genetic factors - good as well as bad. If both father and mother carry the same genes, there is a good chance - or risk - that the offspring will add up these genes, and as we practically all carry unfortunate genes, it is obviously desirable that these are not accumulated. It is here unimportant that there will also be an accumulation of positive genes: a higher tendency to develop hereditary diseases cannot be made good by other positive qualities. However, inbreeding is only a danger in very small populations, say, on a very small island or in so-called "royal" or "noble" families. In a population with millions of individuals it simply does not exist in normal circumstances.

In all events, adding racially alien genetic elements is not a good way of avoiding inbreeding. It only leads to inharmonious individuals, because the parents are too different. To this the ignorant would object that you seem to get very good results in the animal world - and with plants when you crossbreed the different races. This is, of course, very true, but here we are talking about strongly controlled crossbreedings where the scientists have defined in advance which concrete qualities they want to breed. Thus, you select the parents with that purpose in mind by taking the individuals who carry the best of the qualities you want to crossbreed. When you have got the offspring, you again choose the individuals where you find most of the qualities you want. They are used for further breeding. The rest is simply slaughtered as inevitable waste. A continued breeding with these individuals would give the opposite results as those that are wanted. In no case do you allow casual breeding. If it happens by mistake - e.g. with dogs - you must destroy the offspring!

How dangerous crossbreeding can be is illustrated by the so-called "killing bees". They are the artificial product of an attempt to create a robust race with a high yielding capacity. The result was aggressive swarms of bees that cannot pollinate flowers at all. By accident, some of these escaped from a laboratory and today they are a serious threat in all Latin America and the southern parts of the USA, partly because they are highly dangerous for both animals and human beings as they kill anything that moves, but also because they supersede ordinary bees so that the plants are not pollinated. This is a good example of what race mixing can lead to if the necessary control fails - and then imagine that the spreading mixing of human races is **totally without** any control at all!

There is probably no one who would suggest the introduction of the same procedures for the crossbreeding of human races that you have for animals - quite apart from the fact that we here have to do with far more complicated factors than with animals. It is not so easily definable things as stature, meat quality, or milk producing capacity that determine whether human beings can cope with the demands of society, but factors like disposition of character, intelligence, creativity, and inner harmony - all qualities that are known to suffer greatly when you mix the races. In this connection it is also worth noticing that all the people who favour unrestrained race mixing have completely failed to tell us which desirable qualities the alien racial elements that are now pouring into the Aryan world could possibly enrich the white race with!

In all events, the present tendencies of disintegration in all fields of society cannot fail to make people insecure and unhappy. Only in a real, harmonious community consisting of people with the same cultural, historical, and biological background and with the same spiritual aspirations for the future can the individual find the peace of mind and the inner security that it needs. Only here can the human being feel that it is a natural part of a greater whole, of something that is mightier than the individual itself and which will continue to exist when the individual is no more, just as it has existed before the individual was born. Only in such a national community of fate does man find the eternal life he has always sought. In our children and our people we will live forever but that is the only share of eternity we have. Without that we might as well never have lived!

If, on the other hand, man must seek his identity and his goal in life outside the national community, this community has lost its meaning and will inevitably fall apart like a marriage where the partners have nothing but the address in common.

This is a fundamental **Nationalist** viewpoint.

However, loyalty within a group must be reciprocal. It is not only the citizen who must be loyal to society - it is also society that must be loyal to the citizen. Loyalty thus presupposes just social conditions and an economic system where nobody is exploited and where everybody does all he can for the common good to sustain the order that safeguards the common values, guarantees the life and happiness of its citizens, and gives each individual his share of the people's eternal life.

This is a fundamental **Socialist** viewpoint.

Nationalism without Socialism is absurd - and the other way round: Socialism without a clearly defined community has no meaning.

Today it is often claimed that the National Socialist attitude leads to war and to attempts to suppress other nations. This is absolutely nonsense. Quite the opposite is true. Respect for other peoples' cultural and biological identity is only possible if you recognize the existence and desirability of racial and cultural differences - **and you can hardly respect other peoples' identity if you do not appreciate your own!** If you deny the existence of racial differences, it is quite obvious that you will constantly try to impose on other peoples what you yourself find best - without any regard for their own special character.

The disastrous idea, shared by Christianity and Marxism, that there is only **one** civilization that is right for all people regardless of race, is the basis for both the European colonial empires of the previous century and today's incessant attempts to force the barren materialistic life style of the modern industrialized world down on naive third world peoples, who only see glitter, but cannot calculate the cost. This is going to be their undoing as it is ours! The consumer society simply only sees markets and consumers - and here uniformity is the keyword. A uniform clientele does not have any special demands, and this makes production simpler and cheaper, which means a larger sale and a higher profit. National peculiarities and different cultural patterns are here a nuisance

and are therefore fought. For the multinational industries and chains all people are equal - if they can just **consume**. They have absolutely **no** respect for human values at all. They crush them.

For National Socialism **difference** is part of the natural order and we want to maintain this order with all its differences between races, peoples, and individuals. We have absolutely no wish to make a Negro tribe practise our religion, eat our food, or use our laws. We want other peoples to find their own way into the future. Of course, we should be glad to cooperate with them if that is mutually beneficial, but we shall not disturb them. If they cannot find their way, it is their problem - not ours. We do not **seek** any conflict with anybody - we just want to be left in peace. However, we are not pacifists. We know that at times every people must fight to survive as a nation. If it does not, it will perish and we cannot just sit back and let our own people be destroyed. If our existence is threatened, we must defend ourselves and we know that only a new National Socialist world order consisting of independent nations with mutual respect for each other can secure a lasting peace and preserve the world from impending nuclear disaster.

If the world does not accept National Socialism as its only hope of a future, man will be facing destruction. This will be a logical consequence of his continuous violations of the Laws of Nature. However, it will not be the end of the Universe. Seen from there, the planet Earth and the human beings on it are minute and totally dispensable parts of a vast machinery. If we disappear, the various civilizations of the last 10,000 years will just be a negligible episode in the infinite and timeless space - an experiment that went wrong. Even after a total nuclear war there will probably still be basis for biological life in some places of the earth, and then the development towards ever higher forms will start all over again. Even if the Earth should be totally destroyed in such a war or for any other reason - it is more than likely that there is life somewhere on another planet in a very distant solar system somewhere in space, perhaps quintillions of light years away. In all events, Nature will always exist - also without people. Even without life, there will still be a natural order in the Universe. This Universe does not accept any special laws for man, and if he does not realize that, he will have deserved his coming destruction and nothing will be able to change that.

However, **we** think it would be a shame to allow that to happen. That is why we are trying to make people listen to reason at this very late hour. We do not have any time to lose. Would **you** care to join us? Not for our sake - but for the sake of your children.

For sure, this is not a question of politics. It is our very existence that is at stake. Can you afford to waste more of your time?

-BACK-