

UK: Nick Griffin conservative attacks Daily Stormer's White Sharia – My Comments on White Men & White Women

[14th March 2018](#) [jlamprecht](#) 20 Views [0 Comments](#)

[Nick Griffin I think was once the head of the kickass BNP but they went backwards and many people blamed Nick as the cause of this. There are many people who don't like him. I don't like The Daily Stormer's White Sharia idea. We are not Muslims and we don't need to treat women the way the Muslims do. Our culture has other better values. The women LOVED the NAZI party and they had the vote since 1919 and voted for it. I like the natural approach to life that NAZISM represents. Also, our culture at its peak was a MANLY culture and men did call the shots, but they did not have to stone women to death or behave in a nasty way towards women. The young white males which DS represents are probably EXTREMELY SEXUALLY FRUSTRATED and I can understand that. Adolf Hitler himself may have been the original MGTOW (Men going their own way). But he never treated women badly, and the Germans as men never treated their women badly.

The Romans whom I have the utmost respect for were the most male dominated society in history and women were not allowed into politics. But you won't find the Romans treating the women badly. My view therefore is: That when men are manly, the women will like it and they will willingly submit and follow such men, just as Eva followed Adolf Hitler to his death, just as Goebbels' wife died with him. I did put out an article some time back about how in our race men and women are the most physically divergent of all the races. This must be the result of our evolution and its worked for us that women were feminine and men were manly. I have always felt that for men and women to get along better and to have that desire to be together it is better if the men and women are as DIFFERENT as possible. I think it is merely the result of white teamwork. The women looked after the house and children and the men did the work and the fighting. Its a system we MUST return to.

I do believe that for us as a race, a male dominated society is the best. Its worked for us massively and we are in greater need for it than ever. Napoleon ensured that his legal system made the father the boss and the father was also held responsible for what happened. If we want to have a manly society we must also give the men the place and mechanisms whereby they can carry out their duties and grow as men – mentally and physically. Our society is a society of TEAMWORK. The men do the manly stuff, the women do the womenly stuff. That is the way we always were and it works great for us. In videos in time to come I'll discuss the male/female role and the various forms these things took for us and how it worked in other societies.

I reiterate that we have nothing to learn from the Muslims or other races in this regard. The best place to look and learn is from our past history.

I do understand the sexual frustration that must be driving the young white guys almost insane and they are thus driven to almost hating women. We can solve this in a manly, white racial fashion.

I'm not a particular fan of Nick because the BNP seemed to me the best thing in the UK, created by John Tyndall whom I have great respect for and it was rocking and rolling when Nick got it. Below is an article a supporter sent me. Jan]

Here is the link to what Nick Griffin wrote:

<https://knightstemplarinternational.com/2018/01/white-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2/>

White Sharia – The Ultimate Treason [important audio talk]

SHARE

[Facebook](#) [Twitter](#) <http://plus.google.com/share?>

[url=https://knightstemplarinternational.com/2018/01/white-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2/http://pinterest.com/pin/create/button/?](https://knightstemplarinternational.com/2018/01/white-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2/http://pinterest.com/pin/create/button/?)

[url=https://knightstemplarinternational.com/2018/01/white-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2/&media=https://knightstemplarinternational.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Anglin.jpgwhatsapp://send?text=White+Sharia+%E2%80%93+The+Ultimate+Treason+%5Bimportant+audio+talk%5D%20-%20https%3A%2F%2Fknightstemplarinternational.com%2F2018%2F01%2Fwhite-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2%2F](https://knightstemplarinternational.com/2018/01/white-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2/&media=https://knightstemplarinternational.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Anglin.jpgwhatsapp://send?text=White+Sharia+%E2%80%93+The+Ultimate+Treason+%5Bimportant+audio+talk%5D%20-%20https%3A%2F%2Fknightstemplarinternational.com%2F2018%2F01%2Fwhite-sharia-ultimate-treason-important-audio-talk-2%2F)

We strongly recommend this very important and cogent Christian critique of a new

fad in ‘far-right’ circles. Author Nick Griffin is a former Member of the European Parliament and Britain’s most successful every ‘far-right’ leader. So when he condemns a train of thought in those circles, people who might be inclined to think that it has some merit need to pay VERY close attention!

/join"

/join"

Get your digital copy today!

Sign up to receive the latest news and updates from Knights Templar International and get regular copies of our publications sent straight to your inbox!

SUBSCRIBE!

‘White Sharia’ is the latest slogan of hard line elements within the Alt-Right. Its basic premise is that the hysterical insanity of feminism, the intolerance of liberalism and Antifa violence are now so all so advanced that the best way for patriots and nationalists to respond is to adopt the brutal tactics of the Islamists to suppress women and political opponents.

The deliberately controversial idea is being promoted by a loosely-knit group of now semi-clandestine websites and social media accounts. The Daily Stormer, Emily Youcis and Nathan Damigo are among those involved. Many of the other enthusiasts hide behind pseudonyms.

Usually no more than a provocative slogan, where its advocates go into more detail they tend to focus on the socially destructive consequences of feminism, and on the lunacy that is indeed often displayed by liberal women and brainwashed teenage girls.

At the level of words, this leads White Sharia enthusiasts to say that they agree with the Islamists’ contempt for, and hatred of, women. At the generally unspoken level, however, it is clear that many of those who promote ‘White Sharia have at least a passing hankering to be part of a force that allows its followers to capture and exploit sex slaves.

Looking at many of them, this is no surprise, since their chances of getting girlfriends or wives the normal way are, to put it mildly, limited! Sexual violence – or fantasising about it – is a common outlet for Beta males who lack the looks, confidence, talents or resources to form normal relationships.

This is no doubt part of the reason that so many of those who chatter about White Sharia do so in safe anonymity from behind computer screens in their bedrooms, funded by the

Bank of Mom and Dad. The dog with the loudest bark does typically stay in his own yard.

No wonder then, that some of these inadequates are excited by the words of Sacco Vandal, who coined the White Sharia phrase at the end of 2016, when he writes that white men should be free to “rape, pillage, and plunder” once again.

Vandal continues: “The Muslim world is the only civilization left on Earth today where an extreme and rigidly codified patriarchy still exists. Sharia law, though practiced today by some of the world’s most despicable races, is the only living example of anything that even remotely approximates the patriarchal society that Western man once had himself. Unquote.

Alongside teenage sexual fantasies, their powerlessness also encourages some of these people to day-dream about the ruthless murder of political opponents. Taken together, these factors build up until what was hatred and fear of Islamist terror gangs such as ISIS gradually becomes grudging admiration, and a desire to emulate them.

Even in the extreme right and neo-Nazi circles in which most White Sharia advocates operate, the deep sickness of the White Sharia meme has met with hostility and resistance. To give just one example, Billy Roper posted a critique entitled ‘White Sharia is an Impurity Spiral’, which included the comment:

Hey, guys, it’s not macho to joke about kidnapping and raping White women. It’s even less manly to daydream about implementing it. The fact that its proponents have to quote open homosexuals to defend White Sharia should set off alarm bells, even if that homosexual, Jack Donovan, is Jason Kessler’s favourite current read, at the suggestion of his young Turkish “buddy”. Unquote.

Another incisive criticism came from Donald Thoresen, in his article ‘Whigger Sharia’, in which he pointed out that:

Quote. Encoded in the word ‘sharia’ are images of rape, stoning, torture, genital mutilation, acid attacks, honor killings, purdah, and a distinctly non-white incivility and mercilessness. Is this really a sound strategy for white advocacy? Unquote

Among more mainstream Christian patriots, needless to say, all those who come across the White Sharia idea are shocked and disgusted.

This is an instinctive response and, as such, is of course healthy. But it is also important that patriots and traditionalists understand not only the *moral* deficiency of the White Sharia concept, but also its massive *practical* failings. There are five of particular importance:

First, the combination of public anger over immigration, and growing concern over the Islamist threat, has in recent years made nationalist ideas and organisations steadily more popular. From the point of view of the liberal elite, therefore, it is very convenient to have supposedly committed and dedicated nationalists taking up such an extreme slogan.

By moving white nationalists onto such hugely unpopular ground, those who parrot the White Sharia slogan are issuing a gold-lettered invitation to repression. Whenever repressive states find it necessary to crush opposition forces, their nightmare is that public sympathy for the ‘rebels’ will lead repressive actions to create ‘martyrs’.

This fear very often leads the repressive state to pull its punches. Thus a prerequisite for successful repression is prior demonisation in the eyes of the public. It is difficult to conceive of a more devastatingly effective slur than 'White Sharia'.

If it didn't exist, the people who control the mainstream media and the liberal propaganda machine would have to invent it. Or at least, when some crackpot would-be cult leader did so, they would have to publicise and hype it up.

This would be in line with the counter-insurgency doctrine developed by British military intelligence during the fight against various guerrilla forces in the 1960s. The theory urged those in charge of defeating political and military insurrections to take steps to "pollute the water in which the fish swim". Significantly, one of the ways by which this is done is the use of 'pseudo gangs' – false flag operations designed to look to the public, and other opponents of the regime, as 'hardline' rebels.

Make the opponents of mass immigration, Islamisation and the advance of cultural Marxism so ugly and dangerous-sounding that the public not only have no sympathy for them, but can easily be persuaded that their ruthless elimination is in the public good. Whether by accident or design, this is the first consequence of White Sharia.

It is a very dangerous consequence, because liberalism and the related cancer known as cultural Marxism are entering an extremely totalitarian and repressive phase. For a variety of reasons, a group of inter-locking elites have decided that the 'Great Replacement' must go ahead.

They know that this ethnocidal Project of theirs faces resistance, and they will stop at nothing to crush it. Which makes things which allow their media to demonise and isolate that resistance very useful to them. And White Sharia fits the bill perfectly.

The second problem with this repulsive concept is that it must inevitably reduce the number of new recruits won over to 'the Cause'. This is not just a well-deserved problem for those who promote it; but also for anyone who the liberal Fake News media can smear by association and tar with the same brush.

Before 2001, association with Islam would have produced little more than bewilderment. It would have had very little impact compared to the ugly Hollywood Nazism that isolated many of the most outspoken, sometimes even the best informed, opponents of the elite's Great Replacement project.

But many Millennials, and the whole of Generation Z, have never known anything other than radical Muslims behaving extremely badly. As a result, Islamism is now even more hated than Nazism. So what on earth is going through the heads of those who think it's clever to demand 'White Sharia'? Even if it nothing more than an attention-grabbing shock tactic which they don't really mean, the slogan is guaranteed to discourage the vast majority of people from looking more closely, let alone getting involved.

It's a very strange way to try to build any sort of organisation, let alone one which claims to want a popular revolution. Creating a world view which repels normal people, on the other hand, is not just a useful tactic for security services, it is also EXACTLY what *cults* do.

Because while isolation, opprobrium and persecution reduce the number of followers, they also increase the devotion to their leader of the minority who *do* join the cult. Which

invariably translates into money, lots of money – as a google search of the words Anglin, Weev and Bitcoin will confirm.

The December 2017 edition of Atlantic magazine has a profile of Andrew Anglin. Entitled The Making of an American Nazi, its account of how Anglin – an anti-racist, vegan, leftist who despised white people – went from buying livestock as a dowry for two teenage Muslim girls to be his personal harem in the Philippines, to being the world’s most notorious advocate of White Sharia on his Daily Stormer site.

The account – complete with Anglin’s mental breakdown and six months in the wilderness of a tropical jungle, before his emergence as a changed man with a messianic message – is a textbook example of the development of a schizotypal prophet and cult founder. The process is described by socio-biologists Stevens and Price in their classic ‘Prophets, Cults and Madness’, and fits Anglin to a ‘T’.

Let me spell it out very simply: White Sharia is not a political ideology, still less a weapon for ‘white survival’; it is a cult, and a counter-productive menace.

“Ah, but it works,” say those who promote the White Sharia meme. “We have a lot of young people joining us. The shock and controversy draws their attention, and then they like our uncompromising stance and are attracted by our militancy and badass style.”

Now, it is no doubt true that some young white men are attracted to it all. Constantly denigrated in the media, deluged with guilt propaganda about their supposed inferiority relative to women and ‘minorities’, there is a lost generation of young white males who are extremely vulnerable to a cult with this message. But that doesn’t make White Sharia right; it just gives it potential as a cult.

So it will give its leaders money, probably enough for a small harem of mail-order Asian brides. But it will only harm the bigger Cause for which their small number of fanatical followers believe they stand.

This brings us to the third practical failing of this politically suicidal ‘idea’, one which could only go unnoticed by people with no practical experience of trying to build and run a serious organisation in the real world:

Some individuals will indeed be attracted by the idea of White Sharia. Just as some have in recent decades been attracted to the ugly face of Hollywood Nazism, and just as some young Muslims are attracted to Islamic State. For these ‘Causes’ all share the fact that they are not just hated but also feared. They might be despised, but they are also violent and uncompromising.

Their image is repulsive to all normal people, but there is a significant minority in any population which is attracted to an image of brute strength and fanatical certainty. Most people want to think of themselves as ‘good’, even if they not always are; but there are others who want to be Evil. They don’t just hate Mondays, they despise and loath everything and everybody.

So yes, twisted individuals like that *will* be attracted to White Sharia groups. Which will in due course find that such people are extremely disruptive. If you want to build any sort of organisation, you need positive, idealistic people with which to do it. But hate and negativity attract hate-filled, negative people. And it is inevitable that they will quickly turn their hate and negativity inwards, on those supposed comrades and brothers in arms.

Its promoters may see 'White Sharia' as an attention-grabbing expression of mental strength and uncompromising dedication. But the truth is that it is nothing more than a "Psychos Welcome Here" sign. Nothing good can possibly come of it. 'White Sharia' will attract negative and evil people who want to be bad and hated. They will poison everything they touch, they will consume themselves and they will disgrace their Cause.

We have seen for years the cross-over between Nazism and Satanism, from Moors Murderer Ian Brady to the filth that lurked in National Action. This new disease is likely to produce even worse.

It is all so disgusting and ludicrous that one has to ask how many of those who call for White Sharia actually believe their own drivel, and how many are in fact agents provocateurs?

From 1945 until now, the vast majority of those paid to infiltrate and disrupt nationalism have done so under the cloak of Hollywood Nazism. After seventy years, however, the Nazi bogeyman is getting less effective as he gets older. So whether the job is scaring the masses away from 'far-right' parties or frightening assimilated Jewish families into emigrating to Israel, it is obvious that a dash of added Islamist unpopularity can give the propaganda of fear a little extra bite for the 21st century.

Just as many modern 'Nazis' have an unhealthy obsession with the MSM portrayal of the ugliest excesses of the war-time German regime, so too the White Sharia enthusiasts concentrate on the worst aspects of political Islamism. The traditionalist aspects of the lives of the majority of ordinary Muslims – prayer, charity, opposition to homosexuality, family values and healthy family sizes – the very things which decadent white populations would do well to emulate, are ignored.

The fourth great problem with White Sharia is that it deepens still further the lethal division between men and women that was first artificially created by feminism and is now being made worse by its MGTOW mirror image.

Different people blame different enemies for this deadly feminist-inspired rift between the young men and women, without whose love and co-operation the next generation of our people cannot even be born. The Christians blame Satan. The conservatives blame the left. The extreme right blame the Jews. The radical right blame capitalism. But it doesn't really matter who created the division. What matters is that it is deadly.

White Sharia TALKS about the importance of having children, its proposals to do so including beatings, rape, chaining women between the kitchen sink and the bedroom, and harems for Aryan supermen. But, of course, such 'proposals' will not in reality increase the number of white children being born by so much as one.

Rather, the pathetic and juvenile ugliness of these loser fantasies in fact guarantee that no young man who takes on board even a fragment of them will ever even find a girlfriend, let alone a mother for his children. White Sharia will thus make the problem that it is supposed to address even worse.

When pressed on this, some of the advocates of WS say that it's "just a meme" or "it's dark humour". Dark indeed, when such 'humour' will lead to an untold number of young men having a bleak, lonely and sterile future, with no female company except prostitutes or mail-order Asian brides.

It is a sad fact that these boy-men retards have internalised anti-white propaganda to the point they cannot even recognise or appreciate the core values of our people. The same lack of respect for their own is betrayed both by their aping of black ghetto speech and by their tendency to brag about sleeping with “jailbait Filipina girlfriends”, or to accept as ‘comrades’ deviants who do. And they have turned their own fear of women into a genetically suicidal trap for angry young men.

White Sharia is deadly dangerous, precisely because it takes the eternal truth that ‘demographics are destiny’, and twists it into a concept that guarantees that those who follow it will end up without children.

Its followers chatter ceaselessly about “race war”, but seem incapable of grasping the fact that, whether you want to preserve your race or fight a war, you have to have soldiers. And to have soldiers, you first have to have children. And that there is only one place from which children – and therefore soldiers – come: The womb.

Which means that women are not ‘sluts’ or ‘thots’ to be despised, but the only totally indispensable factor in securing a future for our people. Mothers are more important than everything else put together, and they need to be recognised and honoured as such.

Women are the great weapon in a demographic war. A good weapon needs to be looked after. If it’s an assault rifle it must be cleaned, kept safe, and become an extension of the soldier. Women, mothers, must also be treated with reverence. They should not be mocked, but put on a pedestal.

This is perhaps the greatest lesson that we can learn from Catholicism and its adoration for Mary. Not only as a virgin, but as a Mother.

Yes, of course human beings fall short of the Divine and of the ideal, but women will be far more likely to play their unique part in securing the future of our kind if they are treated with love, respect and honour, by good, strong men. Much more so than if they are insulted as whores and thots by ignorant boy-men who never have, and – if they carry on as they are – never will, see a woman cradling their own new-born child.

Am I qualified to give advice on relationships between men and women? Well, I have to confess that I am not a ‘pick-up artist’, so perhaps not. After all, what do I know compared to such experts?

As a shy, inexperienced 16-year-old I won a scholarship to become one of only two boys spending two six-form years at an exclusive upper class girls’ school. I met my future wife when I was 19 and she was 15. We’ve been married for more than 30 years, during which time she has suffered all sorts of pain, persecution and injustice on account of my politics, and become an internationally published and recognised specialist nurse, as well as mother of our four, now adult, children. Three of them are female, so my son and I lived for some years in a house awash with female crazy hormones. Despite which he went out and found himself a beautiful wife of his own, with whom he now has three young sons. As a key activist and at times leader in British nationalism, over more than forty years, I have worked with, employed and fought in external and internal battles with (and against!) women of all ages and classes, at times under conditions of exceptional mental strain and physical danger.

So I have no illusions about women. I have never been close to one who I have not

sometimes wanted to strangle. And I'm sure by the same token that they've all felt that way about me. But we've muddled through. We've laughed and screamed, and smiled and cried, and hated and loved – because that's what normal human beings do. Women are not saints. But, there again, I'm not a saint myself.

So, although I am not a pick-up artist, I do know just a little bit about the female of our species. Enough at least to know that the liberal and Marxist fantasies about gender equality are as baseless, divisive and destructive as the juvenile, loveless contempt of the pick-up artists, MGTOW closet-faggots and White Sharia crackpots.

The people I am criticising do have a very valid point when they rail against college-educated, feminist indoctrinated, thoroughly spoiled females. These artificially masculinised women are at least as bad as their feminised liberal Beta-male counterparts. But not all women are like that. College education ruins most people – male and female alike – so if a young patriot goes looking for a wife among college graduates, he is indeed almost certain to be disappointed.

Further down the social scale, the brazen, drunken, sluttish antics of half-naked 'ladettes' are as repulsive as they are damaging both to themselves and to society as a whole. Just, of course, as they are intended to be by the people using the 'entertainment' industry as a cultural Marxist battering ram against the Christian civilisation which the Frankfurt School theorists identified as the great stumbling block to revolution.

Fortunately, the central heartland of the United States has whole communities, perhaps whole counties, full of families living quietly and modestly according to traditional, Christian values, where many of the girls just want to become wives and mothers for good young men with a farm or a trade.

In Europe, the same can be said in a smaller number of places, but there are still plenty of them. Not just in the centre and the east, but also among the millions of normal girls in the West who don't behave like that, and are therefore ignored by the media mind-benders.

Yes, bad parenting and reality TV shows have turned many working class girls into sluts. And, yes, feminism and higher education have turned many middle and upper class girls into deeply disturbed, hedonistic sexual predators and inhuman bitches.

But, men, look at yourselves and your peers. And let he who is without sin cast the first stone! The rest of you need to stop sneering at the girls who behave badly, and put some effort into finding the ones who – like you – still know how to behave tolerably well.

A good place to start would be binning those pick-up artist guides which tell you how to bang a different bird every night. Because not only does such behaviour make YOU a 'slut' too, but the girls who go to the places recommended and who are available like that are not exactly prime marriage material either!

I'd also hazard a guess that each of the Alt-Right girl celebs who are talking a great fight about the importance of marriage and children (without, unfortunately, so far showing signs of practising what they preach) has a stack of messages from young female followers complaining that most young males in the Alt-Right are self-obsessed, misogynistic nerds, and asking to be put in touch with one who has a serious plan to get a trade and a plot of land on which to build a proper family. Find one of them, and if

anyone calls her a ‘thot’, break his nose!

Because it is well past the time in which a healthy movement would have risen spontaneously against the divisive woman-hating poison which seeps through so much of the so-called Alt-Right. Such people – and those who give them political house room – are a disgrace, and a broken nose is the least they deserve.

The White Sharia enthusiasts who call themselves ‘National Socialists’ are the most ridiculous of all, because the regime they idolise put enormous amounts of effort into putting across the message that women were different, but of equal value and worthy of great respect.

Personally, I have no time for Hitler. His 1933 decision to mothball many of the most revolutionary of the 25 Points and to side with the Prussian aristocracy and military-industrial complex, instead of with the German peasantry, together with his outdated, chauvinistic contempt for the Slavs, were two of the most important factors which led to our peoples facing replacement in their own homelands today.

Having said which, I know that many genuine idealists on the hard-right see things very differently. Especially in the USA, where people are too distant, both physically and historically, from nations such as Russia, Serbia, Poland and Britain to understand just how distasteful their position is to most patriots and nationalists.

But those who are inspired by the dark glamour of National Socialism must recognise that women fighting for Germany on the ‘birth front’ were regarded by that regime as being every bit as important as the men on the Eastern Front. The Mothers’ Cross was not a propaganda gimmick, it was a serious honour. The entire Nazi state saw itself – and consistently acted – as a ‘white knight’.

And in that, of course, it was only following the best tradition of chivalry, which was created by the influence of Europeanised Christianity on the pre-existing high regard for women (free-born ones at least) in many pre-Christian societies, particularly the Celts and many Nordic cultures.

The sterile, woman-hating Hollywood Nazism of the hard fringe of the Alt-Right is not National Socialist at all. Rather, it is in fact an anti-human, alien nihilism, usually with a sub-text of more or less repressed homosexuality. And the decadent behaviour and anti-social verbiage of the pick-up artists, MGTOW and White Sharia advocates would have earned them all a stint wearing black triangle badges in Dachau.

The four immediate problems with the White Sharia concept are bad enough, but there is one final factor which will make it even more dangerous in the future:

Because White Sharia is – both implicitly and explicitly – an acceptance that the most extreme form of Islam on the Planet contains things that should be adopted or emulated. Once someone identifies with the concept of ‘White Sharia’, their opposition to the Islamisation of the West can only be diluted.

Once you’re happy with White Sharia, once you’ve convinced yourself that Sharia is useful, why not go the whole hog and become a real Muslim? After all, there is zero possibility that these hate-filled crackpots will ever be in a position to impose their comic book version of Sharia on anyone. The real Muslims, on the other hand, have the numbers, organisation, money and power to get the job done.

For those without Christian faith, just about the only reason to reject the aggressive Salafism that now has the upper hand in Islam in the West is its ugly, primitive brutality. The stonings, beatings, executions and oppression which are integral to the Wahhabi cult are deeply abhorrent to Europeans, and trigger an almost instinctive reaction and hostility to it.

But convince impressionable young people that such brutality and cruelty are *virtues*, and this block to conversion to Islam is demolished. Thus dabbling in the *White* Sharia cult will leave young men uniquely susceptible to the *genuine* Sharia cult.

The case of Devon Arthurs, the Florida teenage neo-Nazi who shot dead two of his former comrades after converting to Islam, should be a red flag warning as to the special dangers of such conversions. White Muslim converts will make the most invisible – and therefore the most dangerous – spies, assassins and suicide bombers. Every one of these natural born traitors will be a special menace in the dark times to come, so any thought process which helps create them must be rejected.

The White Sharia meme is not a weapon of resistance, but a white flag of surrender. White Sharia is a gateway drug to conversion to Islam. It is the very worst example of the real weak spot that also exists in genuine, sincere, decent European ethno-nationalism:

If race is the only thing that matters, the thing we really worship, then the religion followed by your race doesn't really matter. So if the Muslim threat cannot be resisted without standing up to terror and going to war, why not simply convert and have both safety and access to the power enjoyed by Islam ascendant? It has happened throughout history – it explains, for example, the presence of ethnically purely European Islamist fanatics in Bosnia. Why should it be any different now?

When Islamic State was sweeping all before it, we were not in the least surprised to learn that many of its most vicious, cruel and greedy recruits were actually pathetic, inadequate and frustrated freaks. It was all too obvious why they were attracted to a Cause that made others fear them and gave them a licence to loot, rape, torture and murder. It worked for thousands of European-based Muslims and for a small but significant number of recent white converts.

I will make a prediction: Several of the British neo-Nazi extremists now in or facing prison will come out of jail as hardcore Islamists. Not just because conversion is the easy option in a prison system dominated by violent Islamist gangs, but also because radical Islamism is a more effective vehicle than Nazism for their desire for status and power over others.

Furthermore, their path will be trodden by many more of the hardcore white racists at present banging their heads against electoral brick walls, discussing Nietzsche at high-powered conferences of the converted, or rolling around in the gutter with Antifa (unlike the first two activities, this last one can at least be rather fun if one's in the right mood!)

This ultimate, ultra-racist treason to the Cause of our people is a grave and growing danger. To paraphrase Edith Cavell: "Racial pride is not enough".

All true traditionalists understand that it is not the colour of its citizen's skins that decides the level of civilisation of a nation, but a whole host of psychological, physical and moral factors. These in turn both produce and are reinforced by cultural factors, including

language, shared experiences and common unifiers. They include in particular religion, for which even materialist scientists now accept human beings are ‘hardwired’.

Skin colour may be a marker of some of these, but anyone obsessed with skin colour and race is potentially going to feel the attraction of securing the future of those markers, at the expense of throwing out the cultural identity and glories of Europe and societies created by people of European descent – the very things that actually give some justification for talk of “the Great Race”.

From whence came the European civilisation of which white racists are so proud? Perhaps the potential was there from our Creation. Perhaps it was honed over generations living on the edge of the ice sheets. But the wonders of Ancient Greece and the Roman Empire, were based on slavery, and achieved despite stunning levels of ignorance of many of the basic facts of nature and physics.

Yes, our ancestors have been painting great art for 40,000 years. They built Stonehenge and the Parthenon. But they knew little of medicine, nothing of manned flight. They could not envisage any source of energy beyond the muscle of slaves or draft animals, no more advanced technology than fire, the sail or the water mill. What lay in the depths of the sea or in the rings of Saturn were matters of superstitious speculation. The microscope would have been as unthinkable to them as successful open heart surgery or photography.

And as for freedom, equality before the law, abhorrence of torture – our ancestors were as white as us and at least as clever as us, but most of our highest virtues were as much a closed book to the worthy ancestors of our kind as our technologies would have been.

What was it that opened those secret books? The new, extra factor that unlocked the genius that provided justification to regard the indigenous peoples of Europe (and their descendants in the New World) as something genuinely special, noble and worthy of preservation?

Christianity! Because both the scientific technologies and the moral virtues that define our civilisation were founded on the concepts of free will, personal responsibility and equality before the judgement of something superior to brute force. And those things, the very foundation stones of our entire civilisation, sprang directly and solely from Christianity.

Thus the belief that race is all-important, and by extension the idea that – for example – France or Germany could remain French or German by converting to Islam, is nonsense. And poisonous, destructive nonsense at that.

Yes, ethnicity matters, and all peoples – including ours – have an absolute right to protect their unique identities and to secure the future of their children. Not just because the different races of Mankind were created by God (or by Nature, if you insist in having faith in 19th century dogma, we won’t argue about that right now), but also because the civilisational genius unleashed by Christian values came overwhelmingly from one group of very closely related nations.

If the globalist elite ‘project’ to destroy and replace those people were to be completed, then the civilisation they built would wither away and die, because it would lack the physical and mental capability to repair and renew itself.

Equally, however, the same civilisational death can be the only result if those people

remain cut off from their Christian roots – as they have been by the advancing liberal revolution and the triumph of the spirit of 1789, 1917 and 1968.

It is not enough to ‘save the white race’, but neither is it enough to insist that the new citizens of the Great Replacement ‘adopt our values and integrate’. The values, and the peoples who created them, can only survive if they survive together. In the immortal words of Hilaire Belloc: “Europe is the Faith, the Faith is Europe!”

Thus we have no choice but to conclude that resistance to the global elite’s ‘Great Replacement’ project, and the forced mass immigration and Islamisation that are both its weapons and its consequences, simply HAS to be based on a combination of ethno-cultural nationalism AND Christianity.

There is an additional, very practical reason, why this is so: Going back nearly one hundred years, to the last great crisis of our civilisation, fascism was the most effective European response (albeit a deeply flawed one) to the deadly threat and ultra- violence of Communism. It had to be so, because Marxism was an *ideology*, and therefore had to be confronted and defeated by an *ideology* that had more appeal in 1930s Europe.

Now that the civilisational challenges facing us are *religious*, a religion is the only thing that can counter them. Them? Yes, because there are two of them: Islam, actually a political system disguised as a religion, and liberalism, which is a Godless cult intertwined with capitalist self-interest and greed. One worships a Moon God, the other, Mammon, the God of Gold.

Islamism may be the most obvious enemy, but it was liberalism that helped open the gates of the West to the followers of the Prophet. Both must be destroyed if Christendom is to live. And only Christianity has the moral power both to bring to an end the worship of Mammon and to inspire the New Crusade which is the only thing that can drive Islamism back into the desert from which it emerged.

Those who are fortunate enough to believe will know this already. But even those who lack the gift of Faith must recognise that it is true. So because a revived Christianity is vital to the survival of ‘the West’, all who those who want the West to survive must also do what they can to ensure the survival of Christianity, and its restoration at the moral and spiritual heart of our world.

If you also believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God, so much the better. If you do not, then what harm can it do to pay lip-service to the Faith that helped create the greatest civilisation the world has ever seen? ‘Cultural Christianity’ won’t save your immortal soul, but at least it will help the survival of your people and civilisation. So if it is all you can profess at present, at least it is a start!

Just take a look at your own village, town or city...what is the most impressive landmark? Where are your ‘rites of passage’ carried out at the start, middle and end of life? What building did the eyes of YOUR great-great-grandfather gaze upon just as you do? And what building fulfils the same functions in every city, town and village in every part of the world in which our people live? THE CHURCH!

Even IF the whole thing is a myth, it is a myth so powerful, a myth with such a hold over men, that for these last 1,500 years it has inspired men to die without question or hesitation for it. A myth that caused men to build the edifice of the West in granite blocks

is a myth that only an imbecile would reject or refuse to cultivate when facing a time when we will be in a fight in which we either win, or face annihilation.

This is the key that will unlock the future Resistance and Reconquista in the lands of the West. The last decade has already shown us an example of the extraordinary power of Christianity to revive, and to inspire a victorious counter-revolution. After nearly a century of repression, Christianity has already vanquished Bolshevism in Russia and the East. The same will happen in the West, in the Long War against not just the Islamist plague, but also to destroy the liberal virus that unleashed it.

In the future conflict we cannot afford to be divided or engage in never-ending ‘intellectual’ debates, or ‘led’ by men who use conversation and ideas to mask their own cowardice and weakness when faced with the enemy hordes. We need men who – despite coming from different backgrounds and nations – are united. Men who have fire in their bellies, steel in their hands and burning zeal in their souls. Men who embrace death and glory, and who think in an eternal way. With such men our victory is assured, without such men we are certainly doomed.

Where can we find those men? What faith will unify and inspire those men? What CAN it be, save the Faith of our Fathers?

Whether it is a reality or just a wonderfully powerful myth, it is the simple Christian faith – not political ideology or philosophical waffle – that will produce and inspire the Resistance and Reconquista that are the only things that can now ‘save’ the West.

It will be those plain and simple men who follow the blood red banner of the Cross, who will face the foe undaunted, who will die where they stand rather than bow the knee to an alien, false idol. These are the men whose sons and daughters will inherit, cleanse and one day rebuild, the ruins of the West.

It is time to put away childish things and – as we have seen – few things are more childish, in the worst sense, than the ‘idea’ of White Sharia. So let us lock this ugly little heresy away for good.

Whether they wave one finger to represent their recycled Moon God, believe that He only cares for their gang, or deny that God exists at all, the only possible effective answer to our enemies is the three-fingered Trinity Salute of the coming Reconquista. God the Father, God the Son and God the Holy Ghost.

“God of our Fathers, known of old, Lord of the far-flung battle line”. In Hoc Signo Vincas!